

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,321	08/26/2003	Susumu Kinoshita	21.1997-C	2029
21171 75	590 09/01/2005		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DIACOU, ARI M	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	•		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	λ	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,321	KINOSHITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ari M. Diacou	3663
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	July 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	min monn oonolaeration.	•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
	0.5	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is large, a) and	·	by the Eveniner
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	
The Dath of declaration is objected to by the E		ed Office Action of John P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in /	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	ıu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.
		•
Attachment(s)	A) [] (Cummon (DTO 442):
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	• —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-, CT	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/647,321

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-27, drawn to the subcombination, classified in class 359, subclass 341.41.
 - II. Claims 30-34, drawn to the combination, classified in class 359, subclass 349.
 - III. Claims 28-29, drawn to the method, classified in class 359, subclass 337.1.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the splitting of the light into L-, C-, and S-band amplification stages can be accomplished with a circulator instead of a WDM. The subcombination has separate utility such as a fiber-optic gyroscope.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

Art Unit: 3663

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced on a laser.

4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced on a laser.

5. Upon election of one of the inventions I or II above, applicant is required under

35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the

merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be

allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

A. The species as set forth in figure 5.

B. The species as set forth in figure 6.

C. The species as set forth in figure 7(a)-7(b).

D. The species as set forth in figure 9.

E. The species as set forth in figure 10.

F. The species as set forth in figure 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,321

Art Unit: 3663

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., *II*, *D*), listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,321

Art Unit: 3663

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ari M. Diacou whose telephone number is (571) 272-5591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,321

Art Unit: 3663

AMD 8-29-2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6